UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

_____/

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Miguel Miro

SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT – VERSION 2

The Plaintiff named below, by counsel, files this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation*, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff files this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff selects and indicates by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff, by counsel, alleges as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

A. PLAINTIFF

1. Plaintiff **Miguel Miro** ("Plaintiff") brings this action (check the applicable designation):

	☐ On behalf of [himself/herself];	
	In representative capacity as the	
2.	Injured Party is currently a resident and citizen of Orlando, Florid damages as set forth below. —OR—	a and claims
	Decedent died onN/A At the time of Decedent's death, Decedent and citizen of (City, State)N/A	ecedent was a

If any party claims loss of consortium,

- 3. <u>N/A</u> alleges damages for loss of consortium.
- 4. <u>N/A</u> At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of (City, State) <u>N/A</u>
- 5. N/A At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff resided in

B. DEFENDANTS

- 6. Plaintiff names the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:
 - a. Brand-Name Manufacturers:

GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC
GLAXOSMITHKLINE (AMERICA) INC.
GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC;
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION;
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA
CORPORATION;
PFIZER INC;
PATHEON MANUFACTURING SERVICES LLC

- **b.** Generic Manufacturers:
- c. Distributors and Repackager:

	T	4 •1		
d.	KΔ	tol	lers	
u.	1/6	ıan	CLO	

e. Others Not Named in the AMPIC: DOES 1-100

C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

II. PRODUCT USE

- 9. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine: [Check all that apply]
 - [X] By prescription
 - [X] Over the counter
- 10. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately **01/1992** to **05/2014**.

III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of
that		Diagnosis
apply		
	BLADDER CANCER	

[]	BREAST CANCER	
	COLORECTAL CANCER	
	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER	
	GASTRIC CANCER	
	KIDNEY CANCER	
	LIVER CANCER	
	LUNG CANCER	
[X]	PANCREATIC CANCER	11/19/2018
	PROSTATE CANCER	
	OTHER CANCER:	
	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)	_

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff.

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff asserts these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):¹

Puerto Rico, Florida

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
\bowtie	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through	All States and
		Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC,
		Manaractarer Berendants)	PA, and VA
\times	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings	All States and
		and Precautions (Against Brand-Name	Territories, Except
		Manufacturer Defendants)	LA, NJ, OH, and
			WA
\times	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through	All States and
		Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and	Territories, Except
		Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	

¹ In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiff reserves all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
			DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
\boxtimes	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX
\boxtimes	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only
	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):	

V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demands judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

DATED: 21st day of April, 2022 Respectfully Submitted,

Fletcher V. Trammell

TRAMMELL, PC 3262 Westheimer Rd., Ste. 423

Houston, Texas 77098 Tel: 800-405-1740

Fax: 800-532-0992 fletch@trammellpc.com

Counsel for Plaintiff